

**INDIA MEA TEAM; INDIA UN TEAM TO FORMAT IT AS ACCEPTED BY
ICJ – WILL BRING DOCUMENTS TO BE ATTACHED TO DELHI AND
DISCUSS THIS IN DETAIL. WAITING FOR YOUR INVITE]**

**IN THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE (ICJ), HAGUE,
NETHERLANDS; UNITED NATIONS**

O. S. No: _____ OF _____

PLAINTIFF:

INDIA,
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
Mr. S. Jaishankar / Mr. Sanjay Rana;
MEA, 10 JANPATH RD,
NEW DELHI, INDIA;

ON BEHALF OF INVENTOR:

Srinivas Devathi, Aged 42 years,
S/O. Late D. Satyanarayana,
Residing at No. 63, 11th 'B' Cross, 3rd
Main Road, Prashanthnagar,
Bangalore – 560079, India
Mob: (91) – 903-589-4251

- V/S -

DEFENDANT:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
USA GOVERNMENT,
Mr. Pompeo;
Washington DC, USA;

ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS:

1. Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Johnson & Johnson company,
1125, Trenton-Harbourton Road,

Titusville, NJ – 08560, USA (Referred as D1 in this document)

Ph. No: 001-908-722-5393

Represented by its J&J CEO: Mr. Alex Gorsky, Mr. Joaquin Duato

Janssen CEO: Mr. Tom Heyman, and Director Mr. Mike Comprelli

2. HSBC Bank, USA, (Formerly Household),

452, Fifth Avenue, New York City, NY – 10018, USA

Ph. No: 001-212-525-5000 (Referred as D2 in this document)

Represented by its USA CEO: Mr. Patrick Burke,

Director Ms. Heidi Pote

3. Capgemini US LLC, (Formerly Kanbay),

79, Fifth Ave, Suite 300, New York, NY - 10003, USA

Ph. No: 001-212-314-8000 (Referred as D3 in this document)

Represented by its CEO: Mr. Paul Hermelin, Mr. Thierry Delaporte,
Mr. Aiman Ezzat, Mr. William (Bill) Schreiner and Mr. Joseph Moyer

4. Hulsey Hunt & Parks P.C.

919, Congress Ave, Suite 919, Austin, TX – 78701, USA

Ph No. 001-512-478-9190 (Referred as D4 in this document)

Represented by Mr. Bill Hulsey

5. USPTO – United States Patent and Trademark Office

Commissioner of Patents, Alexandria, Virginia – 22313-1450

Represented by: Director of USPTO; and USA President

(Referred as D5 in this document)

- WITH THE FOLLOWING AS ‘EFFECTED
PARTIES’ OR ‘OBSERVING PARTIES’ OR
‘RELATED PARTIES’ -

Government of Canada, Government of Mexico, Government of Japan,
Government of Korea, Government of Australia, Government of New
Zealand, Government of Brazil, Government of China, Government of
South Africa, Government of Thailand, Government of Philippines,
Government of Nigeria, Government of Malaysia, Government of Indonesia,
Eurasia PTO jurisdiction or Trade Union covering 8 countries (Government
of Armenia, Government of Azerbaijan, Government of Belarus,
Government of Kazakhstan, Government of Kyrgyzstan, Government of
Russian Federation, Government of Tajikistan, Government of

Turkmenistan), and European PTO jurisdiction or European Commission / Union covering 38 countries (Government of Albania, Government of Austria, Government of Belgium, Government of Bulgaria, Government of Croatia, Government of Cyprus, Government of Czechia, Government of Denmark, Government of Estonia, Government of Finland, Government of France, Government of Germany, Government of Greece, Government of Hungary, Government of Iceland, Government of Ireland, Government of Italy, Government of Latvia, Government of Liechtenstein, Government of Lithuania, Government of Luxembourg, Government of Malta, Government of Monaco, Government of Netherlands, Government of Norway, Government of Poland, Government of Portugal, Government of Romania, Government of San Marino, Government of Serbia, Government of Slovakia, Government of Slovenia, Government of Spain, Government of Sweden, Government of Switzerland, Government of the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Government of Turkey and Government of United Kingdom), WIPO (World Intellectual Property Organization that governs PCT, the Treaty), WTO (World Trade Organization), United Nations Secretary General delegate / representation, United Nations General Assembly representation, United Nations Security Council representation, United Nations Economic and Social Council representation, United Nations Trusteeship Council representation, United Nations Secretariat representation, United Nations International Court of Justice (ICJ) representation, United Nations Geneva representation, OPEC (Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries) and Government of Saudi Arabia or their Kingdom delegate / representation.

Under order VII Rule 1 & 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure @ ICJ, the Inventor most respectfully submits as follows – < To be verified >.

1. The address of the Plaintiff for communications is as stated above. The address of Defendant for issue of notice, summons or warrant from this Hon'ble court is as stated in the cause title above. The address of Plaintiff is as stated above. The addresses of the Defendants are as stated above for any communications, notice, summons or Warrant.

2. Out of the four defendants, three of which are large American corporations and one IP patent law firm based in USA.
3. While these organizations are large, specific people within D1 are Michael Comprelli, Randy McDaniels, Kevin Shea, Scott Wearley and Larry Jones. D1 were Inventor's client (while being employed with D3), from November 2006 to January 2010. Inventor worked onsite at D1's offices in NJ, USA.
4. Specific people within D2 are Heidi Pote, Chris Anetz, Michael Lynch and other team members. D2 were Inventor's client (while being employed with D3), from October 2000 to June 2006. Inventor worked at D2's offices in NJ, USA, from October 2000 to June 2006.
5. D3 was the employer of Inventor. Specific people within D3 are William (Bill) Schreiner, Joseph Moye, Roy Stansbury, Scott Sweet, Robert Haarsgaard, Jeffrey Deyerle, John Buly and Barry O'Brien. Inventor worked as employee of D3 from October 2000 to January 2010.
6. It must be noted that nothing as serious as this happens within large corporations without the knowledge of the company CEO and executive teams.
7. D4 is an IP law firm who facilitated the filing of Inventor's national stage and global (PCT) application with D5 for his invention of 'Vehicle color change technology'.
8. D5 (United States Patent and Trademark Organization) located in Virginia, USA; is a governmental body of USA, that prosecutes intellectual property and provides grants as patents or trademarks.
9. The Plaintiff is one of the largest country's in the World with an estimated population of 1.32 Billion; and GDP of an estimated 2.7 Trillion \$. Plaintiff India is ambitious and wants to achieve its own 'Visionary goal' to become a developed country by year 2050 and fully supports the vision 'Goal Year 2050' as outlined by the Inventor. Plaintiff India wants to build at least five large business districts / New Super cities in India (e.g., New York or Hong Kong kind of cities). Further, Plaintiff India wants to realize the dream of 100 Smart cities, 1000 airports, eliminate poverty and ensure everyone in India is provided for. Plaintiff India wants to solve its water woes, elevate its national infrastructure, invest and improve its education sector,

healthcare sector, food and water standards, empower its citizens, improve water management, sanitation and become the richest country by national treasury holdings. This is the demand and aspiration of the young country India. Plaintiff India accuses Defendant USA that they have blocked at least 70.5 Trillion \$ (Earthlings) from coming into India. Thus, denying and derailing all the plans of India as listed above.

10. Defendant USA is the largest economy today by its GDP. Defendant USA has controlled and run the World for the last 300 to 500 years and in the process dictated terms to the entire World and now has attempted to do the same on Plaintiff India. Defendant USA has used their currency USD (\$) that has become a global standard, given their rise in 20th century as the largest economy and they drove their currency to a point of being the most sought after currency in the World and now have used their currency to their advantage by unlimited printing and mixing of the same into the International trade system. In order to retain their dominance on planet Earth, Control and manipulate all 192 Non-US member nations, stay the largest economy in the World, hold all the richest men / women positions and be home to the most Billionaires; defendant USA in a planned way (by working with defendants D1 to D5) has fabricated prior art Cobb, Price, Saenger, Hale and had their own PTO (D5) 'Hot Pen' and insert this fabricated prior art into USPTO production database and issue a fabricated / sabotaging ISR (International Search Report) on Inventor's PCT application which could have provided IP rights (and patent grants) across 152 PCT contracting states. To be specific, defendant USA has destroyed Royalty and income prospects of at least 70.5 Trillion \$ / earthling value to Inventor (estimate based on the valuations from 61 Non-USA PCT contracting states where Inventor had sought IP rights protection by way 17 national stage applications) and hence Plaintiff India, while granting only a portion of the wealth; by giving a grant on national patent application (rightfully so) filed by Inventor (as it truly deserved the Patent), which is estimated at 22.5 Trillion \$ / Earthlings. In the subsequent sections, the details of how Defendant USA had the listed defendants D1 to D5 commit the fraud against the Inventor has been outlined.

LAWSUIT SUBJECT MATTER

MOTIVE OF DEFENDANT AND D1 TO D5

11. The Inventor invented the 'Vehicle surface color change technology'. This technology is extremely transformative concept/technology to the world and would attract large amounts of wealth as Royalty (as per an estimate multiple Trillions of Dollars) from across the globe, from all 152 PCT contracting states, where the invented technology IP rights could potentially be enforced.
12. Such wealth attracted by one Indian citizen into India would make India a rich country by its treasury holdings, and this was not liked by the defendants and collectively USA. They did not want India to get wealthy. Defendants 1, 2 and 3 also wish to keep America as the richest country in the world and not give any growth / progress prospects to India through an Indian citizen. In such an effort they have also engaged and used power from the current and previous USA Presidents, to get D5 to fabricate prior art and issue a fabricated, sabotaging ISR (International search report) on the PCT application filed by Inventor.
13. Such wealth amassed by one Indian citizen would make him the richest man in the world and would drive a lot of growth and development work in India. The first part was perceived as a threat by Mr. Bill Gates and Mr. Warren Buffet (who held the richest men positions then) and Mr. Jeff Bezos (Current richest man). They may have also provided external support and encouraged the activities of Defendants 1, 2 and 3. Mr. Bill Gates and Mr. Warren Buffet visited India in 2010, when Inventor left USA and moved back to India. Mr. Jeff Bezos starts Amazon India a few years later.
14. Inventor states that the valuation of the invention across sectors, globally is at 93 Trillion \$ (which is 10% of global economic activity created by the invention over 100 year period), which is greater than USA GDP; and thus, has attracted the attention of the defendants, other USA corporations, richest men of USA and USA Presidents as well. While Inventor may not be able to produce conversation

evidence for such fact; however, the circumstantial evidence is overwhelmingly pointing to overall USA motive. Inventor can refer to certain media reports about the richest men of USA visiting India in unexpected times.

15. In summary, there are multiple motives to defendants and USA to commit this fraud pertaining to Inventor's 'Vehicle color change technology' invention. The motives are financial (to deny India at least 70.5 Trillion \$ out of the global valuation at 93 Trillion \$) and many non-financial motives such as staying number one on many indicators such as GDP pole position, richest country, to have most number of richest people in their country; and further controlling the entire World as they have done in last 300 years are all motives for USA and its defendants to commit this fraud. These hidden motives of sabotaging Inventor's invention in all Non-USA nations, to destroy his wealth prospects globally can very clearly be termed as anti-India economic activity or anti-India economic terrorism. Their hidden intent to retain USA's GDP pole position and not allow the great nation of India to develop and progress is visible very clearly to the entire world.

FRAUD COMMITTED BY DEFENDANT AND D1 TO D5

16. Subsequent to Q1 2007 when Inventor invented the 'Vehicle color change technology', the defendants 1, 2 and 3 relentlessly targeted, harassed, racially discriminated the Inventor.

17. The five defendants came together and decided to sabotage and destroy the prospects of Inventor's IP rights across the PCT contracting states. This was due to their hatred towards Indian citizens living in India and not to allow them to become rich and earn royalty from across the world. This plot of the five defendants is not only damaging to the IP royalty prospects of the Inventor but also damaging to growth and development prospects of India; by blocking the wealth from coming into India.

18. It must be noted that USA has long moved from Democracy to Capitalism wherein large corporations exercise their power and

command on all governmental organizations including their federal government, state governments and White House. Simply put; defendants 1, 2 and 3 can pick up the phone and pass orders to any of the mentioned bodies including D5.

19.D5 issued a patent grant on the invention, as it truly deserved patent rights. D5 issued a patent grant # 8,910,998 (issued on Dec 16th, 2014 which is enclosed as a document Exhibit A with this plaint) to Srinivas S. Devathi, the Inventor. However, up on instructions of Defendants 1, 2 and 3; the same organization D5 issues a sabotaging ISR (International Search Report, enclosed as a document Exhibit B with this plaint) on the PCT application # PCT/US2014/046619 (a replica of the same USA national application which was issued as a grant). This was done to destroy the IP grant prospects of the Inventor in rest of the world (includes 152 countries). This was done with malicious intent of defendants 1, 2 and 3 to sabotage the IP, its revenue benefits, related royalty benefits to the Inventor who is an Indian citizen; by potentially increasing the chances of rejections and creating an unsustainable number of 'repeat rejection/pending office actions' from all national stage application prosecution PTO's that refer to the ISR (International Search Report) issued on the PCT application to issue a grant. This has effected Inventor's IP patent grants in 61 Non-USA PCT contracting states; that were filed for via 17 national stage applications based on the PCT application, all of which have gone into an indefinite cycle of 'Pending/Reject office actions' which over a period of time exhausted all wealth of the Inventor and thus became unsustainable, causing loss of applications in many jurisdictions. The defendants are hence made liable to all the losses incurred in all such territories. In summary upon collaboration and instructions from defendants, D5 issues two different outcomes on replica applications, one outcome (a patent grant) on the national application and a different outcome (on PCT application) by creating / fabricating prior art Cobb, Price, Saenger, Hale and issuing a fabricated / sabotaging ISR (International Search Report) on the PCT application causing loss of IP rights (with unsustainable number of repeat rejection office actions); thus targeting the invention and work of Inventor in all Non-USA PCT contracting states.

20.D5 has contradicted themselves by issuing a grant on Inventor's application in USA territory and issuing a sabotaging ISR on the same exact application at PCT (global) level. The prior art cited in the ISR does not appear in the citations in the D5 patent grant. Nor did the prior art cited in ISR appeared in thorough searches conducted by Inventor and D4 while filing for the application. D4 (IP law firm) has the ability to search global IP database to ensure that there is no similar prior art before advising the Inventor to proceed with the application. The prior art searches were thoroughly done and Inventor and D4 were convinced that there is no prior art even remotely close to what Inventor had disclosed as part of his invention. After these checks alone, the national patent application (# 14/227,859) in USA was filed on March 27th, 2014. The e-mail communications between Inventor and D4 are enclosed as Exhibit C with this plaint; and the paid services search results provided by D4 are attached with this case as Exhibit D with this plaint.

21.Defendants 1, 2 and 3 ensured that prior art citations were fabricated (created) by D5 to destroy the prospects of the Inventor in the ISR and his IP rights in 152 PCT contracting states. The prior art citations Cobb, Price, Saenger, Hale were fabricated by D5 and mentioned in the ISR to deliberately sabotage Inventor's IP prospects elsewhere in the world. Inventor is familiar with data warehousing technology techniques of 'Hot Penning' data into production database with past historic dates, which is done in extremely rare circumstances. Cobb, Price, Saenger, Hale were such fabricated, created prior art that was 'Hot Penned' into D5 production database.

22.D4 is by profession accountable to deliver any and all D5 communications to the Inventor on time, especially when something has a 'two-month deadline to file a response' back to D5. The fabricated sabotaging ISR (International Search Report) was not delivered on time (from the fabricated date of Nov 4, 2014) to the Inventor, thus breaking the legal protocol IP law firms of USA are bound by. How could D4 deliver a report in the week after Nov 4, 2014; when he never received the report in the year of 2014? This fabricated sabotaging ISR issued by D5 is enclosed as one of the documents with this case. It is dated Nov 4th, 2014; a fabricated date.

The ISR which had a 2-month dead line to respond back to D5 (chosen ISA - International Search Authority); was not communicated to the Inventor and was only delivered to him after his repeated questioning / asking for it several months later; well past the deadline of filing a response. The e-mail correspondence related to this are submitted as documents along with this case. The only possibility and the truth are that the fabrication of Cobb, Price, Saenger, Hale (prior arts) took months (Jan 2015 to July 2015) and the fabricated sabotaging ISR was created in month of July 2015 and then delivered to D4. So, when D4 received it in July 2015, he could NOT have delivered it to Inventor in November or December 2014. This is the truth and the only logical possible explanation, as the USA patent grant that happened in Dec 2014, has no mention of Cobb or Price or Saenger or Hale in the list of citations. A one-page view of the fraud committed by the defendants is attached as Exhibit G with this plaint. Additionally, one-page view of the fact set, and timeline of the fraud committed by defendants is enclosed as Exhibit H with this plaint.

23. This fabricated / created sabotaging prior art and fabricated ISR issued with a fabricated date are now in public domain via the WIPO Patent scope publication and now accessible and also issued to all PTO's in the world thus targeting the prospects of IP grants to the Inventor in all Non-USA PCT contracting states, now 61 PCT contracting states where Inventor has sought protection.
24. The WIPO Patent scope publication ID for the PCT application is WO2015147900. This is accessible by anyone in the world via internet by accessing WIPO Patent scope database (available on WIPO.org website) by searching on the publication ID given. The fraud of USA and its defendants is now globally accessible via this publication.
25. Inventor over the last 5 years has filed amendments in each PTO with an intent to keep the applications active / alive until the fraud is admitted by the defendants; until they cleared the fabricated sabotaging ISR, until they issued a clean new ISR (that is consistent with the patent grant) and communicated the same to all PTO's so that all the Non-USA PCT contracting state applications become grants. However, this attempt by Inventor has only exhausted his wealth and

- created debt for him and when the repeat office actions became unsustainable, Inventor started to lose applications. If a rejection is met with in any national territory or the application is lost for not being able to pay the fees to file a response (legal fees, service fees, annuity fees or PTO filing fees or related fees), the defendants become responsible and liable for the losses incurred by Inventor and India.
26. The defendants and USA have denied Trillions of Dollars from coming to the Inventor by the fraud they have committed and destroyed all his income prospects from 152 PCT contracting states and at least from 61 Non-USA PCT contracting states where he has sought protection by filing 17 national stage applications based on his PCT application.
27. The 'Vehicle color change technology' could further be applied to other surfaces such as electronic device, electrical home appliance, furniture, walls, shoes or toys. Inventor has PCT applications filed for these sectors. The following are the WIPO Patent scope publication ID's (accessible via WIPO.org website) for the Non-Vehicle sector PCT applications - WO2017144948, WO2017144949 and WO2017144950. The ISR's (International Search reports) for these NON-Vehicle sector PCT applications mention the Inventor's D5 national application patent grant (patent # 8,910,998) as the rejection prior art citation and rightfully so. These ISR's on the three PCT applications are attached as Document Exhibit L with this case.

DETAILS OF THE FRAUD AND ROLES OF D1 TO D5

28. The details of the fraud committed by USA and defendants is outlined in the subsequent paragraphs. These paragraphs are further segregated into sub-sections for clarity of understanding the details of the fraud.

Role of D3

29. Inventor was employed by D3 from Oct 2000 until Jan 2010. While being employed by D3, Inventor consulted to their clients D1 and D2, and worked out of D1 and D2's offices based in NJ, USA. Inventor consulted for D2 by physically working at their office in NJ, USA

from Oct 2000 to June 2006. Inventor consulted for D1 by physically working at their office in NJ, USA from Nov 2006 to Jan 2010. As an IT consulting firm, D3 goes out of their way to please their large account clients which generate millions in revenue every month. D1 and D2 were D3's large client accounts and for their client's relationship and projected revenue for subsequent quarters, D3 would do anything that is asked of them by D1 and/or D2; such as threatening, harassing, racially abusing, targeting professional work of Inventor, their employee. Simply put, D3 is at the command and order of D1 and/or D2.

30. Inventor worked with many individuals employed by D3, however, the key people who he interacted and have relevance to this case are William (Bill) Schreiner, Joseph Moye, Roy Stansbury, Scott Sweet, Robert Haarsgaard, Jeffrey Deyerle, John Buly and Barry O'Brien. D3 ensured Inventor worked in USA by filing for his work visa and in year 2007, they filed and initiated the process and paperwork for 'Green Card' to Inventor and his then spouse.

31. In years of 2008 and 2009, Inventor was repeatedly threatened, harassed, racially discriminated, verbally abused and professionally attacked despite the Inventor's professional work being of impeccable record; by deliberately fabricating situations to attack in a planned way. The Inventor was professionally attacked by a combined team effort of D3 and D1 (client at the time). D3 people listed above would deliberately ensure team members of Inventor would underperform, sabotage a project / task assigned to them; thus, deliberately inciting client D1's complaints to higher ups at D3. This combined, fully planned methodical attack on all projects executed by Inventor, went totally out of control and became unbearable. Inventor had enough of their planned / combined attacks and targeting; and finally decided to quit his employment with D3, thus ending going to work for D1 or their offices in NJ, USA. All of these threats, harassment, racial discrimination, verbal abuse and planned attacks on professional work began after Inventor invented 'Vehicle color change technology' upon the purchase of his third used silver car (as he could not find the car in the choice of his color within his budget) in Jan-Feb of 2007. He made a note of this invention in the laptop (issued by D3) in an excel sheet

and did not realize that they were routinely scanned and subjected to automatic back-ups into D3 servers.

32. Outside of making a log in the laptop, he had conversations about the invention in Q1 2007 with a few of his then friends and his then wife, who has now been divorced (divorce concluded in July 2012).
33. In years 2008, 2009 and first half of 2010 (while searching for another job), when Inventor had some conversations with contacts at earlier client D2, he was meted with similar kind of harassing, threatening, racially abusive and leave our country type of comments.
34. Due to the unbearable attacks in USA, Inventor left the country and came back to India in 2010. Upon a surprising issue of his 'Green card' in 2013, he decided to go to USA and then filed for the 'Vehicle color change technology' invention patent application in March 2014 that was issued as grant by D5; and the same D5 destroys Inventor's prospects by fabricating prior art and issuing a sabotaging ISR on an exact replica PCT application; which is the subject matter of this court case.

Cause of action to D4; Inventor never directly communicated with D5

35. Inventor adds that he never interacted with D5 directly, at least until EOY 2015; before when the fabricated / sabotaging ISR was received by Inventor. Inventor's hired lawyer for this subject D4, delivered the fabricated ISR 9 months later (from the fabricated issue date) upon repeated questioning and asking. You only interact with D5 through your lawyer, in this case D4 - Who has committed fraud by breaking law and not delivering a communication on time; as they are held accountable to the issue date on the report (fabricated in this case). The fact that the ISR is dated before the USA patent grant and yet D4 delivers it to the Inventor after repeated requests and questioning; 9 months later from the report issue date; is glaringly visible to the entire World and all 61 Non-USA PCT contracting states. Inventor likes to inform that a private lawsuit for the same subject matter is active in Bangalore City Civil Court, India with case # O.S. 4961 of 2018; in which he has made D1 to D4 as defendant parties and the

case in itself is Inventor V/S USA Corporations D1 to D4; filed and moving forward as a Private party law suit. In this Private lawsuit O.S. 4961 of 2018 in Bangalore City Civil Court, he could not make D5 (a Government agency) a defendant. Hence, at ICJ, D5 has been made as a defendant party and the lawsuit is filed as INDIA V/S USA in which a Government agency D5 can be made as a defendant party. In any case, D4 was responsible for all interactions with D5 and has been caught red-handed by breaking the law and D4 must explain D5's actions and their (D4's) actions in relation with D5's actions in this lawsuit that effects the entire world (starting with 61 non-USA PCT contracting states including India).

36. The search results of D5 until Dec 16, 2014 are consistent with the paid services - search results of D4, issued in Feb 2014. Facts are clear and for everyone in the World to see that search results and national application patent grant outcome are true, real and consistent until Dec 16, 2014. If not for thorough validated search results, confirmation and subsequent discussions with D4, Inventor would not have embarked on pursuing 'Global invention IP rights' to his invention in first place. The second part of D5's actions on the replica PCT application indicate global fraud that has been caught red-handed; and certainly, upon the instructions, complete co-ordination and teamwork of D1 to D4 alongside with D5. This is consistent with the threats, harassment, racial discrimination D1, D2 and D3 meted upon Inventor after the invention. They worked with D5 and committed this fraud. This is to destroy the wealth from non-USA PCT contracting states from coming into India via the Inventor. Why would D4 not deliver a report dated Nov 4, 2014 (with 2 months response filing deadline) to Inventor if he had really received the report on that date? Secondly, why would D5 give two outcomes on same replica applications, one outcome for national application and another outcome for PCT global application? The fact that D4 delivers the sabotaging ISR after repeated questioning / asking in Aug 2015 (with a past date of Nov 4, 2014 with 2 months deadline to file a response, which had long gone in the past); clearly states that the team of defendants and D5 needed those months of January 2015 to July 2015 to draft, design, create fictitious non-existent prior art of Cobb,

Price, Saenger and Hale; carefully 'Hot pen' the data into D5 production database with past / historic dates and then fabricate a sabotaging ISR, is glaringly visible to the entire world. Defendants must note that their fraud and teamwork with other defendants is now exposed to entire world.

The roles of D1, D2, D3, D4 and D5 in this fraud

37. D3, an IT consulting firm, is a mid-sized corporation, however they do not have the necessary relationship with D5. They only have local political network and influence with Illinois state government (politicians in Chicago, IL) and later built network with Governments of NJ, NY. However, as Inventor's employer they ensured they targeted, harassed, racially abused and professionally attacked him; as part of the colluded team effort. It must be noted that Inventor's relationship with D1 and D2, is through the employment with D3; and hence D3 is one of the main defendants to answer the Inventor and India in this lawsuit.
38. While D3 is an IT consulting firm at the order and command of D1 and D2; D1 - Janssen pharmaceutical USA company (with a research division) is patent (IP) based business. Patenting of new drugs ensures royalty and keeps competitors away from replicating the drug for 20 years. Hence, D1 and their parent company file patent applications for new drugs on a regular basis. They have regular day to day discussions, ongoing conversations with D5 director (Head/Director of D5); and hence their critical (important) role in this collusion of defendants. So, the primary role of D1, was to handle all D5 communications pertaining to this invention, fabricating the prior art and to have them issue the sabotaging ISR. D2 – HSBC Bank USA does not have regular interactions with D5. However, D2 was the financial muscle to fund this collusion and their activities in the global fraud. The role of D4 has been covered in the 'Cause of action to D4' section above. The role of D5 has been covered very clearly in paragraphs 19, 20 and 21 above.

39.D1 alone has the relationship with D5, to make something like this happen by very close communications, instructions to fabricate, insert data / records (of fabricated prior art Cobb, Price, Saenger and Hale); with past dates into the system and issue a fabricated sabotaging ISR. Inventor has worked in 'Business Intelligence and Data Warehousing' field himself and knows very well about 'Hot penning' technology to insert data / records into 'Production database' with past (historic dates). Technically it is very much possible and any technology company / technical expert in the field of Business Intelligence / Data Warehousing can come in and vouch for this.

Capitalism and USA: Corporations give orders to White House
(Federal government) and all State governments, including D5

40. In this passage Inventor would like to mention how USA has turned into a capitalism world historically. Maynard Keyes introduced capitalism in USA and in 70-80's Raegan economics took capitalism to a whole another level in USA. Giving tax breaks to large corporations (with multi-Billion \$ income'; who pay Billions of \$ in taxes) to allow them to further hire more and expand their operations; thus, making USA Central Government and State Governments fully under the command and control of USA corporations. Another factor to be considered here is USA Central Government elections (Presidential) happen once in 4 years; whereas the corporations are permanent and generating the kind of money they do and pay taxes. Capitalism and USA corporations have come to a point, where the Corporations decide who comes to power at center and states; and during the 4-year term of Government, they are under the order and command of the corporations that financed them. In this context, D1 and D2 can command and order White House, state governments of NJ and NY. D3 can command and order Illinois state government and had network into White House through a recent President who entered White House from that state.

41. Inventor states that D3 and their clients D1, D2 have repeatedly threatened him after the invention. When the invention of Inventor is given two different outcomes by the same institution (D5) one at

national level and one at global level (impacting 61 non-USA PCT contracting states where Inventor has sought IP rights protection), the motive must be questioned. In this case, it is nothing else but greed to block wealth from coming to Inventor and India. These fully correlate to the threats he received by D1, D2, D3 earlier. Further it is well known fact that in USA which is driven by Capitalism; Corporations command and order White House and government institutions as they fund political parties before elections against results and favors in their term. D1 and D2 (clients of D3) who also threatened Inventor on the matter, are long known to control, command and order White house, NJ state government and D5.

42. Inventor again states that D5 has given two different outcomes, one on national application and one on global PCT application. Read the documents attached with the plaint. D5's actions are fully consistent with D1, D2 and D3's threats to Inventor after the invention was made and recorded on his laptop in Q1 – 2007. D4 joined hands with D1, D2 and D3 to commit the fraud as they had White House, NJ state Government, Illinois state government and D5 on board with the plan to deny Inventor and India the wealth from the invention.

Jurisdiction for PRIVATE lawsuit (for global color change technology for all sectors including Vehicles) is Bangalore, India.

ICJ, Hague, Netherlands; is the Correct jurisdiction, right Court for resolving this truly Global lawsuit connected to 62 World nations

43. Inventor states that he is an Indian citizen, born, raised and is a resident of Bangalore, India; and his inventions / Intellectual Property as per 'Patent Cooperation Treaty' (signed by India) is very much enforceable in all PCT nations in the World. This fact by itself makes Bangalore, India the jurisdiction for this legal matter. Not to mention, the other PCT applications, pertaining to other sectors (non-vehicle) have been filed with Inventor's India residential address in Bangalore (the ISR's of which cite Inventor's D5 Vehicle patent grant, further linking the defendants fraud to Inventor's PCT applications for other sectors globally), which further confirms the jurisdiction of this

lawsuit in Bangalore, India. Inventor would like to inform Defendants that Bangalore, India is the jurisdiction for all sectors (Vehicle or other) color change technology; across the world (in all PCT nations) starting with the 61 non-USA PCT contracting states where applications have been filed via 17 non-USA national stage applications, that have been effected by this fraud. So, a Private party lawsuit Inventor V/S USA Corporations D1 to D4 (without D5, a government agency) is active in Bangalore City Civil Court with case number O.S. 4961 of 2018. Currently, in this Private lawsuit, two of the four defendants have filed papers while the other two are not showing up in the court as they have been caught red handed with this global fraud.

44. Inventor would like to add that outside of filing the priority patent application with D5 (in March 2014) and a PCT application with D5 (in the same year), he has entered 17 other PTO jurisdictions with national stage applications, covering 61 Non-USA PCT contracting states. Not to mention, there is an active continuation application with D5 (application # 14/535,867). Further, Inventor has filed PCT applications for non-vehicle sectors from his residential address in India, the ISR's of which cite his Vehicle color change patent grant from D5 (patent # 8,910,998). As a State V/S State subject; to bring in the fraud of D5, a USA Government agency, this subject matter is being filed at ICJ, Hague as INDIA V/S USA with 60 other Non-USA countries and several Global agencies as 'effected parties' or 'observing parties'.

Patent Cooperation Treaty

45. As of the drafting date of this document, there are 152 PCT contracting states, as in countries that have signed the Patent Cooperation Treaty and became bound by the PCT. Defendants must note that while USA was bound to PCT on Jan 24, 1978; India signed the treaty and became bound by PCT on Dec 7, 1998. Inventor would like to inform Defendants that inventors use PCT system, to file one international patent application under PCT and simultaneously seek

protection for their invention in a very large number of countries (which could potentially be all 152 PCT contracting states). Inventor however, based on his inventions applicability and revenue potential has sought protection for his invention in 61 Non-USA PCT contracting states by filing 17 national stage applications using his PCT application. Inventor would like to direct Defendants to visit WIPO.org website that has information about the Treaty and its purpose.

46. In the treaty, Inventor would like to point Defendants to the purpose of the Treaty which is as follows. To encourage citizens from all over the World to contribute to the progress of science and technology, to provide legal protection to inventions, simplify global protection process, to foster and accelerate the economic development of developing countries and to provide ease of access to all technical information contained in documents describing new inventions to public. Cooperation among nations will facilitate achieving all the goals, and thus the PCT was drafted and rolled out.

47. Defendants are further instructed to review Article 9 of PCT which states that any resident or national of a contracting state may file an international application. Further Defendants is instructed to review Article 15 which defines International search and Article 16 which defines International Search Authority (ISA). Defendants must note that D5 is a designated ISA and must act as a responsible ISA, upholding the intent, objective, values of PCT, the treaty. D5 failed to stand up to the objectives of PCT, due to jealousy and hatred towards an Indian citizen inventor. Defendants are advised to review Article 21 which defines International publication. This international publication has been done by WIPO pertaining to Inventor's patent application related to 'Vehicle color change technology' with the publication ID 'WO2015147900', that could be searched on WIPO Patent scope database and all related documents could be read. This means the fraud of Defendants and D5 is now available to read by everyone globally. Many have read the documents as well. Defendants must clearly understand that everything (all documents, including the sabotaging ISR) pertaining to Inventor's PCT application are in public domain for all citizens to access globally. All

national PTOs have also completed their respective PTO publications. Defendants are advised to review PCT articles 29 and 30.

48. The true original intent of the PCT – Implied by the text, purpose and objective of PCT is to provide a global application platform / procedure for people from across the World; irrespective of race, religion, caste, ethnicity, nationality, skin color or economic status (of the inventor), to apply and seek protection to his/her inventions globally. D5 and defendants D1 to D4 have failed to uphold the purpose, objective and intended value of PCT, the treaty despite signing the Treaty and becoming a contracting state; thus, violating the rules and guidelines established by PCT, the Treaty. Defendants and D5 are answerable on their fraud to all 152 PCT contracting states. Inventor advises Defendants to immediately admit their fraud, and meet his prayer demands in plaint and resolve the matter in court at the earliest, before this goes into the eye of global media houses.

49. D5 has contradicted themselves as search authority and International Search Authority (ISA), by issuing different reports/outcomes to national and PCT applications. Thus, committing fraud on a global scale, impacting the economics of 61 non-USA PCT contracting states; including that of India. D5 issues a national grant patent # 8,910,998, issued on Dec 16, 2014; on Inventor's national stage application. If the citations list is closely observed in the pages 1 and 2 of patent grant document (attached as Document Exhibit A with this plaint); there is no citation reference to Cobb, Price, Saenger or Hale. Nor do these citations / prior art (Cobb, Price, Saenger or Hale) show up in D4's paid services search results (attached as document Exhibit D with the plaint). These documents factually prove that Cobb, Price, Saenger and Hale were non existing at the time of D5 patent grant dated Dec 16, 2014. Then, it is the same D5 as PCT application ISA, issues a sabotaging ISR (International search report) after fabrication and inserting records/documents into D5 production database by 'Hot penning'; on a replica application to the USA national patent application that was issued as a grant. It is crystal clear and proven beyond any reasonable doubt that D5 has fabricated and inserted prior art Cobb, Price, Saenger, Hale and issued the fabricated ISR on the

PCT application; thus, not standing up to the objective and intent of PCT, the Treaty.

50. Simply put, D5 (the same office) has issued two outcomes / results on replica patent applications one a national application and other a PCT application. This is nothing but fraud, committed by USA corporations (Defendants) in collusion with D5. Further, Defendants must note that the PCT application # PCT/US2014/046619, with WIPO Patent scope publication ID WO2015147900; has all documents pertaining to the PCT application published online; accessible to citizens all over the World and certainly the 61 non-USA PCT contracting states where Inventor has sought protection, including India. These paragraphs clearly highlight the fraud of defendants D1 to D4, who conspired with D5 to deliberately sabotage the Inventor's income prospects from his invention from all non-USA countries; thus, destroying the future of India and its citizens. Defendants must note that this fraud is now globally documented as published online documents and the fraud of D1 to D4 along with D5 is globally visible and provable in all global jurisdictions. The Inventor wants to highlight to Defendants and the Hon'ble court that the subsequent paragraphs provide the thinking / reasoning of USA, its citizens and, defendants D1 to D4, along with D5; as to why they committed the fraud:

USA and defendant's hatred towards India and Indian citizens

51. The motive of these five USA defendants is to block India's progress and development. It is to block the royalty and downstream business income from 61 non-USA PCT contracting states to come into India through Inventor.

52. Out of jealousy, USA corporations do not want India to progress and become the largest economy in the World in years to come. On the same lines, USA's richest people (Mr. Jeff Bezos, Mr. Bill Gates, Mr. Warren Buffett) do not want the Inventor an Indian citizen to become the richest man in the World. Copy of Inventor's passport showing his Indian citizenship is attached as Exhibit M with this plaint.

53. Due to this feeling of jealousy, hatred; the defendants came together, and in a completely planned way, fabricated the prior art cobb, price, Saenger, Hale and issued a sabotaging ISR to destroy India's future and wealth prospects from 61 other non-USA PCT contracting states. Further destroying the future of 1.32 Billion Indian citizens living in India.

Global impact of this lawsuit: 61 Non-USA PCT contracting states, including India have been impacted. Their economies and businesses have been impacted. Use of 'Vehicle color change technology' to develop their economies has been blocked

54. Inventor would like to state that if defendants D1 to D4 had responded and acted on time, when the Private lawsuit (OS 4961 of 2018) was filed in Bangalore City Civil Court on July 10, 2018 (enclosed as Exhibits E and F with this plaint) and summons served by Aug 15, 2018; and met the Inventor's prayer demands, the Inventor would have had patent grants in most jurisdictions (16 apps / 60 non-USA PCT contracting states, as he had lost only New Zealand territory for lack of being able to pay the OA response filing fees and lawyer fees, at the time). As the Defendants failed to admit the fraud on time; Inventor has lost more applications due to the fraudulent prior art and ISR causing repetitive OA's; thus, causing liability to defendants.

55. The fraud committed impacts 61 Non-USA PCT contracting states IP rights (due to the fabricated prior art and fabricated sabotaging ISR); including India.

56. India's economy and a total of 61 other country (Non-USA PCT contracting states) economies are linked to this fabulous invention of the Inventor. The Defendants have blocked all 61 countries from progressing, developing, energizing their economies, businesses and corporate worlds. This matter has global implications and Defendants must consider meeting Inventor's prayer demands immediately, so that they do not block the World from developing and moving forward.

SIZE & SCALE OF INVENTION

57. Inventor spent several months (nearly 2 years) by having analysts gather all vehicle manufacturing data from across the world, and further did analysis on all other sectors where this ‘Color change technology’ could be used or adopted. And Inventor also listed all the downstream businesses that will evolve and develop into full scale businesses to support the evolution of the Invention as a product; and businesses that will provide all related downstream services for the invention. Further, Inventor identified all the layers of ‘Supply chain’ businesses that will evolve in every country to deliver the related products and services.
58. After data gathering, analysis for nearly two years and study of markets across globe, the overall economic activity created by this invention across globe over the next century (100 years) was estimated at around 930 Trillion \$. An aggressive number is around 1000 Trillion \$ or more. Inventor is claiming a conservative 10% of this 100-year economic activity, at 93 Trillion \$, as a single buy-out / sale price of the invention across all 62 countries where he has sought protection including USA. The conservative 10% number sale price is larger than GDP of any country in the World. At 12%, the global valuation (buy-out / sale price) will be at 111.6 Trillion \$; and at 15%, the global valuation (buy-out / sale price) will be at 139.5 Trillion \$. In many prior inventions, Inventors have sought 12% or even 15% as their earnings off the invention, hence those numbers are also listed.
59. This invention is in a strata/category of its own and there is no existing scale or benchmark for such a globally transformative invention.
60. The valuation of the patent applications has been done based on detailed study of all the automotive manufacturing plants across the world, segregated by individual countries, their manufacturing through-put, the market size projection for the 100 year period; and all the related (downstream) business opportunities such as within plastic – polymers industry, paints industry, chemicals – additives industry, robotics industry, microvalves industry; and further all these sectors respective market sizes.

61. Additionally, the national valuations include market sizes of non-automotive sectors such as Electrical – Electronics sector, Furniture – Walls sector, interior décor articles, toys, shoes and other miscellaneous sectors also.
62. After all the analysis and study, one single pay-out sale / buy-out price (liability) for that territory; which includes the sale of IP rights, royalty income for the patent tenure for all sectors and controlling rights / ownership to all the downstream businesses pertaining to the invention in those respective territories for 100-years. When annual market size growth rates and the inflation related to economic growth versus currency (E.g., Value of INR, USD, Euro, Riyal, Renminbi in year 1900 as opposed to its value in year 2000); are factored in and extrapolated across the 100-year period, the numbers further shoot up well beyond the 1000 Trillion number.
63. The combined global valuation at 93 Trillion \$ is further a 10% claim on total 100-year realistic projection of the economic activity, while it could have been higher at 12% or even 15%. The valuation number in the box (given below) is what the defendants are liable to pay the Inventor, at 10%. Needless to say; that such numbers are directly linked to making India a developed country. Hence, this invention and liability claim is the most important case for India and other developing countries across the world.
64. USA continuation application 14/535,867 (for non-automotive sectors) is valued at 5.25 Trillion \$ off the total 22.5 Trillion \$ USA value, making the USA Vehicle sector patent grant valued at 17.25 Trillion \$. Off the total global valuation of ‘Color change technology’ at 93 Trillion \$, the automotive sector is valued at 78 Trillion \$ and the non-automotive sectors are valued at the remaining 15 Trillion \$. The valuation against each country is the sale / buy-out price for that country patent. It is the number that the defendants are liable to the Inventor. The liability grid / table is given below. Additionally, comments pertaining to the liability grid / table, are covered in points 65 to 73 below.

COUNTRY	Application Status	Valuation (sale price)
USA – Priority / Original app	Vehicle sector Grant	17.25 T
USA – Continuation app	Non-Vehicle sectors; linked to D1-D4 and D5 fraud *	5.25 T
New Zealand	Lost	0.45 T
Eurasia (8 countries)	Lost	0.45 T
Korea	Lost	7.5 T
Japan	Lost ^	12 T
Australia	Lost	4.05 T
Brazil	Lost	1.5 T
Canada	Lost	4.5 T
China	Lost *	7.5 T
Europe (38 Countries)	Lost *	27 T
India	Lost	2.25 T
Mexico	Active *	1.5 T
Thailand	Active ^	0.3 T
Philippines	Active ^	0.3 T
Malaysia	Active ^	0.3 T
Indonesia	Active ^	0.3 T
Nigeria	Grant ^	0.15 T
South Africa	Grant *	0.45 T
Total value of invention (as sale price) - *^ Due to partial territories left, their value is diminished and hence it is full liability to defendants now		93 Trillion \$

65. China is one of the largest markets in the World, manufacturing one out of every four cars (automobiles) manufactured in the World. That application (valued at 7.5 Trillion \$) has lapsed on July 28, 2019, as Inventor is unable to sustain (or pay for) the repeat office actions. The defendants are liable for this territory now. The European patent application (covering 38 countries) lapsed on July 31, 2019 for non-payment of annuity (to keep the application active). Again, Inventor is unable to sustain the repeat office actions and keep these applications alive and active. The defendants are liable for this European PTO jurisdiction losses now (valued at 27 Trillion \$).

66. With China application lost, it becomes 'IP safe haven' for OEM's who have manufacturing set-up and establishments in China. USA OEM's have manufacturing plants / set-up in China. Thus, diminishing the value of USA patent grant (and continuation

- application) valued at 22.5 Trillion \$; making defendants liable for (loss / diminished value) of USA patent and continuation application. Even European OEM's have manufacturing plants / set-up in China. This link is indicated by * in the table.
67. Further, due to the indirect relationship Mexico application (valued at 1.5 Trillion \$) and South Africa patent (grant valued at 0.45 Trillion \$) have with the Chinese application; as primarily USA and Europe OEM's operate in these territories, their value has also diminished. Making the defendants liable for the additional 1.95 Trillion \$. This link is indicated by * in the table.
68. Thailand, Philippines, Malaysia, Indonesia applications and Nigeria patent grant – These applications and patent are linked to Japanese OEM's. With the loss of Japan application, the defendants have already become liable for the value of all these territories put together, 1.35 Trillion \$. This link is indicated by ^ in the table.
69. The India patent application was lost on Sep 14, 2019; as the fraud at the source has not been eliminated, to stop the repeat pending/Reject office actions. This makes defendants liable for India patent valuation at 2.25 Trillion \$.
70. All the above points make USA defendants liable to the Inventor for the full value of 93 Trillion \$.
71. Downstream businesses for 100-years: Inventor would like to inform Hon'ble court that in the lost territories or PTO jurisdictions as listed above, he would be unable to establish and develop the 100-year worth downstream businesses for the entire eco-system required for the 'Color change technology'. Hence the full value (the buy-out or sale price listed in the table) becomes liability of the defendants.
72. Even when partial territories are retained, the 'IP safe havens' due to lost IP territories will compete (for not having to pay related royalties in IP tenure) and get a head start in the full eco-system of downstream businesses as compared to the retained territories. Because of this, Inventor states that Defendants are liable for full buy-out price by territories including the ones that have diminished in value as listed above; making their total liability as 93 Trillion \$.
73. The valuation of all 18 applications (including USA) and the buy-out / sale price for each of these applications which includes IP royalties

for the IP tenure and control rights/ownership of downstream businesses for 100 years has been given in the table above. Based on these numbers, the motive of defendants and D5 in numbers is crystal clear. The Vehicle sector patent grant by D5 is valued at 22.5 Trillion \$ and the rest of the World (coming under PCT application and effected by the fraud) is valued at 70.5 Trillion \$. It is more than clear that USA, D5 and defendants D1 to D4, wanted to deny that kind of wealth (at least 70.5 Trillion \$) coming into India thru the inventor. The motives are many for USA defendants; to stay the wealthiest country in the World, not allow India to get rich, not allow Inventor to become the richest man in the World going above America's richest, deny progress and development for 1.32 Billion Indian citizens. Controlling the World and retaining pole positions on economic indicators, treasury wealth and the dream of most USA citizens to be on richest people's list; all of which have been shattered by Inventor and India. This fraud is an attempt to retain USA supremacy over India and the World. However, the defendants have been caught red-handed in the global fraud and that too in the World's eye / global eye as visible to all PCT nations via the WIPO publication of all documents.

LIABILITY CAUSED

74. The reason for the cause of liability is that Inventor has incurred significant expenditure in paying for the 'Rejection office actions' issued by most PTOs (Patent and Trademark Offices) based on the fabricated prior art and fabricated sabotaging ISR issued by D5; in an attempt to keep those patent applications afloat / alive / active until the Defendants admitted their fraud, cleared the fabricated sabotaging ISR and sent communications to all PTOs; so that he could receive patent grants in all these territories. These expenses would not have existed in the first place, if not for the fabricated prior art Cobb, Price, Saenger and Hale; as the applications would have been straight grants in all territories after filing. Hence the defendants are made liable for

the loss of IP rights in the jurisdictions where the patent application has been lost / abandoned due to not being able to pay the legal fees to file a response or annuity fees or other office fees to keep the application active / alive. Further, Inventor states that he is in debt and will not be able to raise any more capital to keep the applications active and is likely to lose all other remaining active applications also for not being able to pay the legal / office / other fees related to that application.

75. Defendants must clearly understand that their fraud has global impact and not only to Inventor and India. Defendants must also note that, the combined defendant's fraud has caused repeated (pending/reject) office actions from most of the 17 PTO's, the costs of filing responses to which were high and non-sustainable by the Inventor. Due to the costs involved in keeping applications active by filing repeat office action responses, and Inventor's debt in the market, he started to lose applications causing liability to defendants due to the fraud committed. The current liability is as stated in the sections above, the full value of 93 Trillion \$. The e-mail communications with Inventor's IP lawyers regarding not being able to pay the fees are attached as Exhibit K with this plaint. If not for the defendants D1 to D4 combined fraud in collusion with D5, the 'repeat office actions' based on sabotaging ISR would have not been there in first place, and the patent applications in all 17 non-USA PTO jurisdictions would have come in as straight patent grants. This would have meant full development and progress of India through the wealth attracted by Inventor by his invention patent grants from all over the world.

76. After investing most of his personal wealth, and further taking loans and favors from IP law firms, Inventor has a total current market debt of over an estimated 54 lakhs Indian Rupees. After this, Inventor was unable to raise any more debt given his fixed income and he started to lose applications causing liability to defendants. If Defendants had admitted to their fraud sooner, Inventor could have saved all his 17 non-USA applications and they would have been issued as grants. Inventor has made all efforts to keep applications in all non-USA jurisdictions active, and despite, defendants have caused application losses and hence they must pay for the liability.

77. The 17 Non-USA contracting state PCT national stage applications were lost / abandoned by the Inventor on these dates due to not being able to sustain the payment of legal fees, lawyer services fees, office fees, annuity fees or other fees required to keep these applications active:

- New Zealand application LOST on May 24, 2018
- Eurasia application (covering 8 countries) LOST on Nov 21, 2018
- Korea application LOST on Feb 18, 2019
- Japan application LOST on March 13, 2019
- Australia application LOST on March 28, 2019
- Brazil application LOST on April 15, 2019
- Canada application LOST on July 15, 2019
- China application LAPSED on July 28, 2019
- Europe application (covering 38 countries) LAPSED on July 31, 2019
- India application Lost on Sep 14, 2019.
- Philippines - Active application but now with diminished value. Inventor claims full liability from defendants for this application.
- Mexico - Active application but now with diminished value. Inventor claims full liability from defendants for this application.
- Thailand - Active application but now with diminished value. Inventor claims full liability from defendants for this application.
- Malaysia - Active application but now with diminished value. Inventor claims full liability from defendants for this application.
- Indonesia - Active application but now with diminished value. Inventor claims full liability from defendants for this application.
- Nigeria - Patent grant received on Sep 26, 2016. Inventor claims full liability due to its diminished value; now related to all the other application losses.

- South Africa - Patent grant received on Jan 18, 2018. Inventor claims full liability due to its diminished value; now related to all the other application losses.
- USA continuation application (# 14/535,867) is active however is linked to this lawsuit. Inventor claims liability from defendants for this continuation application. It must be noted that it was the Inventor who filed an 'IDS' – Information Disclosure Form after D5 issued the fabricated sabotaging ISR on the PCT application. It shows the True nature of the Inventor as he disclosed the fabricated citations to be transparent in the (continuation patent) application process and that D5 was made aware of the fraud they committed at the PCT application level. The Continuation application is what defendants and D5 are very much liable for.
- A document showing the snapshot view of all the 17 Non-USA PCT contracting state national stage applications with application numbers, filing dates, current status and patent grant numbers is enclosed as Exhibit N with this plaint.

**THE ONLY SOLUTION, TO DISBURSE IP RIGHTS; THE
CORRECT WAY**

78. The inventor has taken upon himself to define the right solution to handle the invention he has created, to smoothly and effectively disburse the IP rights across all territories in the World.

79. The first problem was the buy-out / Sale price of the invention across 62 countries (18 applications in total) at a 93 Trillion \$. This value of the invention, at 10% of global economic activity this invention creates in 100 years, is greater than GDP of USA, currently the largest economy by GDP. Further, the conventional model of USA controlling the World using their currency USD, will not fit when the Inventor is a citizen of India and the invention has global impact. The Inventor was posed with multitude of problems from earning royalties by staying in India and to enable India to make money of the invention, by attracting wealth globally.

80. To enable such a large global transaction, an entire eco-system / framework was to be built or developed, that would not only facilitate largest transaction in the World, but also better the world from its current state of being controlled by USA.
81. Inventor authored 'Project Earthling©' which is his copywritten work, to introduce a brand-new global trade currency, foreign exchange currency and national reserve currency called 'Earthling' (short-form Ellies). This is purely International trade currency and Forex currency and will not be the national currency of any one country in the World. This effectively restricts USD to only USA and not a sought-after global currency as it is today. Similarly, Pound will become only local UK currency. Additionally, all global bank transactions, global trade, global pricing, global rankings, global exports will be done in Earthlings and not USD or Pound. Introduction of such a currency and rolling out the new global banking and global trade system/framework would enable completion of such a large transaction, sale or deal of selling the invention rights globally.
82. The authored content of 'Project Earthling©' is an innovative 'Global Macro-economic reform' and 'Global Banking reform' which is enclosed as Exhibit J with this plaint. This allows the defendants to pay out the wealth in printed currency in Dollars which upon the roll-out of 'Project Earthling©' could be converted into 'Earthlings©' currency, to build our own Indian national treasury; wherein the wealth would be in our own control and authority. Details of 'Project Earthling©' have been submitted as one of the documents with this plaint to the Hon'ble court. 'Project Earthling©' would allow India to do development work over the next 30 years to realize Inventor's vision of 'Goal year 2050'. I am looking to introduce the subject of 'Project Earthling©' at United Nations (UNGA) and hopefully the defendants are not going to block that attempt also, as they do not allow any good to happen to India and the rest of the World. Ideally, Inventor wants to introduce 'Project Earthling©' at UNGA and get the subject matter voted in so that it is taken live and rolled out at the earliest, to complete this largest deal / transaction in the World.
83. Now, to introduce such a new currency and then control the issue of such currency in future, a governing body called 'Global Earthling

Counsel' (GEC) must be built. Such an organization should obviously be under the control and command of a truly global organization such as 'United Nations'. So, GEC would be built as a division / group within United Nations, a very strong global financial control division.

84. When we look at the current United Nations, 5 main organs are headquartered in NY, USA and it is fair to say that UN has been funded, controlled and directed by USA all along, since its inception. The word funded is the key word. USA with unlimited printing of USD has paid for UN work all these years. And now it is time to move away from such a model. Effectively, the introduction of Earthling currency and formation of GEC, will enable UN to become fully independent (as they do not depend on USD currency any longer), and this will enable UN to evolve as a truly global governing body. And Inventor recommends a GI-50 (Group Influential 50) as a new model to govern the World, replacing the old models. Additionally, all globally relevant matters should be subjected for voting by all nations enabling a G-193 model on all decisive voting subjects. Further, the formation of GEC within UN, will allow the payment of all UN hires and expenses in a reimbursement model against local national currency, in Earthlings, annually. Inventor has named this evolved United Nations which will govern the World as 'United Nations Global Governance Model 2020'. Inventor is also looking forward to introducing this subject 'United Nations Global Governance Model 2020' at UNGA and get the subject matter voted in by the 193 member nations. Following this, Inventor also wants UNGA to initiate an 'Advisory Proceeding' at ICJ to give an order to complete the transition work into 'United Nations Global Governance Model 2020' adhering to a 'Transition Plan' which may further have deadlines by phases I and II.

85. With this kind of ecosystem built or enabled, it allows Inventor to do a smooth and effective disbursement of IP rights and 100-year downstream businesses to all 61 NON-USA PCT contracting states where Inventor has sought IP protection. In this model, the UN financial arm GEC will print and issue currency for the invention to the Inventor, and this allows the assignment of the IP rights and 100-year downstream business control rights to the respective Non-USA

PCT contracting state. This allows UN-GEC to print 70.5 Trillion Earthlings and pay the inventor to assign the 61 NON-USA PCT contracting state rights and downstream businesses to those respective country governments. They in-turn could decide as to who will get the IP rights from within their country and who they want to grant the downstream eco-system businesses from within their country or PTO jurisdiction. A one-page view of the 'Only solution' that will be globally welcomed and accepted is attached as Exhibit I with this plaint.

86. Two applications are at PTO jurisdiction level. Europe covering 38 countries and Eurasia covering 8 countries. Europe has EC (European Commission) to work with that anyway has representation of most European countries. Inventor thinks, these PTO jurisdiction assignments of IP for IP tenure could be done at the cluster of nations level or could be broken down to individual national level. This is the decision of all involved governments. Essentially, IP Royalty earnings for IP tenure is earnings that could be shared by population percentage of individual countries as an alternate point of view. Similarly, the downstream businesses could be assigned to companies of their (combined set of governments) choice. As these PTO jurisdictions are trade groupings also, it would be easy to find companies that work across these countries, employ people and build the downstream businesses. Eurasian application could also be dealt with; in similar lines or method.

87. All the above, of course by holding USA accountable to their fraud, ensuring they clear / withdraw the sabotaging ISR, issue a new / correct ISR which is consistent with the patent grant and send communications to WIPO and all PTO's in the World about the correction and thus recognizing the true Inventor to this technology. Further, USA must procure their own IP rights for their country by paying 22.5 Trillion \$, ideally before the launch of Project Earthling©.

88. Upon analysis, this is the best solution to handle this invention effectively and disburse IP rights globally, in a smooth, structured and systematic way. The greed that this invention has created in USA would be cooled off and contained. There is no other solution as

effective and as globally acceptable as this one. All of India (Indian citizens) welcome this solution, as it allows the Inventor and India to cash out of the invention (in a full cash out model) and build their own national treasury in Earthlings currency which will be the new global standard for national reserves.

89. The entire World will also welcome this solution as it contains the greed generated in random directions and enables each country to build their own downstream business ecosystem and they could also retain their own IP royalties generated in the IP tenure. Simply put, the presented solution will be globally accepted. The add-on benefit of this solution will be that UN will evolve as a true global governing body and builds its own division of GEC (which pays for all UN hires and expenses across the globe, in an annual reimbursement model against the local national currency expenses incurred annually), and further evolves UN into 'UN Global Governance Model 2020' (with a GI-50 and G-193 methods of operation). This will free UN from the grip and control of USA; and brings better law adherence, better legal framework in international waters / territories; decentralizes the World; brings legitimacy to all Global Treaties; makes all Global organizations more independent; delivers equality and respect across countries; and finally enables each country in the World to work on their own 'Growth, progress & development'; resulting in delivering 'lasting Peace & Prosperity' to all countries and the entire World. This is an improvisation that the entire world will welcome and contribute towards.

GOAL YEAR 2050 AS DEFINED BY INVENTOR FOR INDIA.

THIS COULD BE EMULATED BY OTHER COUNTRIES

LOOKING FOR DEVELOPMENT

90. As an Indian citizen, Inventor is very interested in making the Great nation of India a fully developed country. To realize this, he has rolled out an entire visionary plan 'Goal Year 2050' for India, to make it a fully developed country, in which poverty would be eliminated, all necessities and amenities provided for the entire population, support

Indian agriculture with better science and technology, realize the full vision of 100 smart cities and build at least 5 brand new world class business cities in India with beautiful sky scrapers / sky line.

91. In this endeavor, the Inventor has decided to allocate 45% of all earnings from this court claim settlement and invention sale globally; to Indian central government that includes all taxes to be paid to the government. Additionally, a portion of that 45% will be assigned to 29 Indian state governments in proportion to their population, as development funds. Inventor would guide both Central Government and 29 State Governments on how they should spend the funds. Additionally, Inventor would allocate another 45% to his 'Foundations' that will do private hiring and execute large scale, pan India initiatives over the next 30 years. These will be series of Foundation initiatives, that would be spaced by one or two years (between initiatives) for the next 30 years. The Inventor would take 10% of the wealth generated by this sale as his personal wealth. All the details of these development projects and foundation initiatives will roll out as time progresses; and will be part of the larger visionary plan. Inventor believes, there will be global curiosity and interest particularly from other developing and least developed countries in the World, to follow his work as part of 'Goal Year 2050'.

92. 'Goal Year 2050 for India' is a visionary goal defined by Inventor, which comprises of public side and private side development work/initiatives across India. As public side contribution, the Inventor has decided to pay not just the 20% capital gains to the Income tax department (Central Government), but an additional 25% of the capital gained divided between Central government and all state governments in proportion to their populations. In summary, a total of 45% is paid out to Indian central and state governments so that they could do large infrastructural and development projects across the country, over the next 30 years. As and when required, Inventor would provide guidance to Indian Central government and state governments about the work that needs to be done.

93. Off the total wealth (capital gained), another 45% is pledged for 'Private side development initiatives' run by Inventor in the form of Foundations work, to make India a fully developed nation by year

2050. Foundations are a platform used by Inventor to define, plan, roll-out, execute to completion; a series of transformative initiatives / reforms across India as private side projects executed by human chain of Indian citizens; implemented with 100% transparency wherein all work is available for public to see on websites. The last Earthling or rupee spent as part of these Foundations work is traceable and accounted for, as all financial transactions / work will be done through Banks or digital money applications. The combination of work done as part of Public and Private side initiatives, will ensure that Goal Year 2050 is completely achieved.
94. Inventor is looking to build 5 Global super cities or business cities (e.g., such as Hong Kong) in India. There will be investments to improve education, Health care and many other sectors to move India towards becoming a Developed country and realize the full vision of 'Goal Year 2050'. There has been an attempt by USA and its defendants to destroy these prospects.
95. All of India will have considered this as an act to block economic security of India. Indian courts and lawyers and pro-India groups will look to file a 'Public Interest Litigation' against USA and its defendants, in the interest of 1.32 Billion Indian citizens, to ensure India's national and economic securities are safe-guarded, protected and achieved. However, Inventor and India trust that the Hon'ble ICJ will deliver justice in this subject matter and lawsuit and any such other actions will not be necessary.
96. What Plaintiff on behalf of Inventor is claiming as part of point 99; ensures that intellectual property rights of citizens, human rights of citizens belonging to any of the PCT countries will be upheld and inventions should be enforceable across all PCT countries, thus, adhering to the original intended purpose of PCT, the Treaty. And further, that developed countries, or their corporations should not block, destroy and sabotage prospects of Inventors coming from developing or economically backward countries.
97. Additionally, Plaintiff brings the attention of Defendant to introspect on the original intent and purpose of so many countries entering, signing and contracting to PCT the Treaty. Plaintiff asserts and advises Defendant to respect the International Treaties signed by so

many countries, 152 in this case and follow the guidelines, framework and the real spirit the Treaty has provided or intended.

98. Further, Plaintiff advises and asserts to Defendant that they must respect people from all countries despite its race, ethnicity, religion, skin color, economic status and abide by global agreements / Treaties signed by multitude of nations. Defendant is advised to do serious introspection of the Racial divide within their country and take some action about it. Further, defendant must introspect on aspects such as privacy invasion, excessive greed, excessive hatred, excessive jealousy, conducting illegal clinical trials and such acts on minorities within their country.

99. The Plaintiff on behalf of Inventor claims the following relief as outcome of this case:

- a. Defendant and D5 must withdraw / delete the sabotaging ISR issued on the PCT application # PCT/US2014/046619.
- b. Defendant and D5 must issue a correct / new / clean ISR, to the PCT application # PCT/US2014/046619; which is consistent with patent grant 8,910,998 issued by D5. That would be the correct ISR.
- c. Defendant and D5 as the ISA / IPEA on the PCT application # PCT/US2014/046619, must send out a new communication to WIPO and all 152 PCT contracting states in the world; to their respective PTO's issuing the corrected new ISR replacing the old sabotaging ISR.
- d. Further D5 needs to issue a grant on the Inventor's continuation application in USA, application # 14/535,867.
- e. Defendant and D5 must pay the total liability of IP losses incurred by the Inventor, which is 93 Trillion \$.
- f. As an alternate payment option, Defendant and D5 must pay the partial liability (value of USA patent grant and continuation application # 14/535,867) of 22.5 Trillion in \$; and legally endorse the receipt of balance 70.5 Trillion in Earthlings currency to the Inventor; from United Nations division Global Earthling Counsel (GEC) which is to be formed and its launch is under works.

100. Plaintiff on behalf of Inventor state that defendant must pay the liability and meet the prayer demands via this Hon'ble court (ICJ). If they need to have discussions pertaining to the liability, the same could be held at 'ICJ Arbitration Center' using this very case as reference number.

PRAYER

The Plaintiff on behalf of the Inventor requests that the Honorable Court (ICJ, Hague) to pass a judgement and decree in favor of the Inventor against the defendants,

- a. To withdraw / delete the sabotaging ISR issued on the PCT application # PCT/US2014/046619.
- b. To issue a correct / new / clean ISR, to the PCT application # PCT/US2014/046619; which is consistent with patent grant 8,910,998 issued by D5. That would be the correct ISR.
- c. As the ISA / IPEA on the PCT application # PCT/US2014/046619, must send out a new communication to WIPO and all 152 PCT contracting states in the world; to their respective PTO's issuing the corrected new ISR replacing the old sabotaging ISR.
- d. To issue a grant on the Inventor's continuation application in USA, application # 14/535,867.
- e. To pay the total liability of IP losses incurred by the Inventor, which is 93 Trillion \$.
- f. As an alternate payment option, Defendant and D5 must pay the partial liability (value of USA patent grant and continuation application # 14/535,867) of 22.5 Trillion in \$; and legally endorse the receipt of balance 70.5 Trillion in Earthlings currency to the Inventor; from United Nations division Global Earthling Counsel (GEC) which is to be formed and its launch is under works.

Inventor

Srinivas S. Devathi

Date –

Place – Bangalore, India

VERIFICATION

I, Srinivas S. Devathi, the Inventor, do verify and state that what is stated in paragraphs 1 to 100 above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Inventor

Srinivas S. Devathi

LIST OF DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED ALONG WITH THIS CASE:

1. Exhibit A: The USPTO Patent Grant # 8,910,998 – 15 Pages
2. Exhibit B: The Sabotaging ISR on PCT application # PCT/US2014/046619 – 9 Pages.
3. Exhibit C: 7 threads of e-mail communications between Inventor and D4 – 21 Pages.
4. Exhibit D: Prior art search results and opinion provided by D4 – 8 Pages.
5. Exhibit E: Complaint as filed in Bangalore City civil court OS 4961 of 2018 on Jul 10, 2018 – 6 Pages.
6. Exhibit F: Bangalore city civil court case OS 4961 of 2018 filing receipt – 4 Pages.
7. Exhibit G: One-page view of the fraud of USA, its defendants and USPTO – 1 Page.
8. Exhibit H: One-page view of the Fact set with timeline, that clearly shows the fraud of USA, its defendants and USPTO – 1 Page.
9. Exhibit I: One-page view of the only solution to disburse IP rights the correct way in collaboration with UN GEC – 1 Page.
10. Exhibit J: Project Earthling© Copyright issue by Indian Copyright Office – 6 Pages.
11. Exhibit K: Relevant communications with IP Law Firms – 69 Pages.
12. Exhibit L: ISR's and Written Opinions on Non-Vehicle Sector PCT applications (filed off Bangalore residential address) that could be accessed at WIPO Publications / Patent Scope – 28 Pages.
13. Exhibit M: Srinivas Devathi's Indian citizenship proof, Copy of Passports – 4 Pages.
14. Exhibit N: Snapshot of 17 Non-USA PCT national stage applications filed, applications numbers, patent grant numbers, filing dates and current status – 1 Page.

Total 174 Pages of documents (segregated to 14 Exhibits A-N) attached with plaint